



## Assessing the effectiveness of forest certification in Uttarakhand: A comprehensive review

Priya Barthwal\*, Sneha Dobhal

VCSG Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry, Ranichauri, Uttarakhand, India

Corresponding Author: Priya Barthwal

### Abstract

Forest certification in Uttarakhand, India, stands as a cornerstone of sustainable forestry practices and environmental conservation. This comprehensive review delves into the effectiveness and challenges of forest certification in this ecologically diverse region. The study reveals that Uttarakhand has made significant strides in adhering to certification standards, contributing to the preservation of its unique biodiversity and ecosystems. Key findings underscore the importance of community engagement in forest management, where local participation fosters both social and ecological benefits. However, challenges such as limited market access, the need for capacity building, and policy support are prevalent, necessitating careful consideration for the future. The way forward for Uttarakhand's forest certification entails continuous monitoring and adaptive management, ensuring that certified forests remain sustainable and relevant. Promoting market access and premium market linkages can create economic incentives, while collaboration between the government, indigenous communities, and stakeholders is vital for policy support and cultural preservation. Investment in research, innovation, and transparency will further enhance the credibility of the certification system in Uttarakhand. This review provides a roadmap for the region's continued commitment to sustainable forest management, ensuring the safeguarding of its invaluable forests and the communities intertwined with them.

**Keywords:** Forest certification, Uttarakhand, sustainability, biodiversity, community engagement, policy support, future prospects

### Introduction

#### Background and Significance

Forests are vital components of our planet, serving as the lungs of the earth and providing essential ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration, biodiversity conservation, and freshwater regulation. Uttarakhand, a state in Northern India, boasts a rich and diverse expanse of forests, which are critical for the well-being of its people and the broader environment (Khadse and Bisht, 2017; Jinger *et al.*, 2023) <sup>[14,13]</sup>. Over the years, concerns about deforestation, illegal logging, and unsustainable forestry practices in Uttarakhand have been raised. In response to these challenges, forest certification programs have emerged as a means to promote sustainable and responsible forest management. Certification systems like the forest stewardship council (FSC) and the programme for the endorsement of forest certification (PEFC) have been adopted in Uttarakhand to encourage environmentally sound, socially responsible, and economically viable forest practices. This review article seeks to delve into the effectiveness of forest certification in Uttarakhand and its implications. Uttarakhand, known for its lush landscapes, diverse flora, and fauna, plays a crucial role in India's biodiversity conservation. The implementation of forest certification programs is a crucial step in ensuring the long-term viability of these ecosystems and the well-being of local communities that depend on these forests (Gupta and Uniyal, 2019) <sup>[11]</sup>.

#### Objectives of the Review

The primary objectives of this comprehensive review are as follows

**1. Assessing the Environmental Impact:** This review aims to evaluate how forest certification programs have

influenced environmental aspects such as forest health, carbon sequestration, and conservation of biodiversity in Uttarakhand.

- 2. Analysing Socio-economic Implications:** The review will examine the socio-economic effects of forest certification, including its impact on local communities, livelihoods, and social well-being.
- 3. Identifying Challenges and Opportunities:** It will highlight the challenges and opportunities that have emerged during the implementation of forest certification programs in Uttarakhand, shedding light on regulatory, policy, and practical aspects.
- 4. Comparative Analysis of Certification Standards:** The review will provide a comparative analysis of different forest certification standards, with a focus on FSC, PEFC, and other systems. This will offer insights into the strengths and weaknesses of these programs in the context of Uttarakhand.
- 5. Future Prospects and Recommendations:** In conclusion, the review will present a forward-looking perspective by suggesting recommendations for enhancing the effectiveness of forest certification in Uttarakhand. These recommendations will encompass policy, stakeholder engagement, and practical strategies for sustainable forestry.

This review intends to contribute to the existing body of knowledge regarding forest certification in Uttarakhand, offering insights that can guide policymakers, forest managers, environmentalists, and local communities in their

efforts to strike a balance between forest conservation and sustainable resource use (Bharti *et al.*, 2019)<sup>[2]</sup>.

### Understanding Forest Certification

Forest certification is a critical component of sustainable forest management, aiming to ensure that forest resources are utilized in an environmentally responsible, socially beneficial, and economically viable manner (Chakravarty and Alam, 2014)<sup>[4]</sup>. It involves the assessment of forest management practices against specific criteria and standards. In Uttarakhand, as in many other regions, the concept of forest certification has gained significance due to the need to balance the conservation of rich biodiversity with the demands of timber production, non-timber forest products, and local livelihoods (Sanwal *et al.*, 2018b; Kumar *et al.*, 2021b)<sup>[26,15]</sup>.

### Key Certification Standards

#### 1. Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)

FSC is one of the most recognized and widely used forest certification systems globally. It is known for its stringent standards and principles that focus on ecological, social, and economic aspects of forest management. These standards encompass criteria related to biodiversity conservation, indigenous rights, worker safety, and more.

#### 2. Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC)

PEFC is another well-known certification system that emphasizes sustainable forest management. It operates in multiple countries and regions, offering a set of criteria and indicators for forest certification. The PEFC standards also encompass ecological, social, and economic dimensions.

#### 3. Indian Forest Certification Scheme (IFCS)

In the context of India, including Uttarakhand, the Indian forest certification scheme is a national initiative aimed at promoting sustainable forest management. The IFCS provides a set of standards that are adapted to the specific needs and conditions of Indian forests, taking into account factors like biodiversity conservation, community rights, and environmental sustainability.

#### 4. Global Forest Certification Initiatives

Beyond FSC, PEFC, and national schemes like IFCS, there are various other international and regional forest certification initiatives, each with its set of standards. These include the Malaysian Timber Certification Council (MTCC) for Southeast Asia, the African Forest Certification Scheme (AFR100) for African countries, and others tailored to specific geographical contexts.

It's important to note that certification standards are continually evolving, reflecting changes in societal values, scientific knowledge, and emerging environmental challenges. In Uttarakhand, forest managers and stakeholders must navigate these standards, while considering local ecological and social conditions. Achieving and maintaining certification requires a commitment to adhering to these standards, ongoing monitoring, and periodic assessments by accredited certification bodies.

### Forest Resources in Uttarakhand

Uttarakhand, nestled in the Northern part of India, is renowned for its rich and diverse forest resources. The

state's forests are not only of paramount ecological significance, but also play a vital role in supporting the livelihoods of local communities and fostering biodiversity. This section will provide an overview of Uttarakhand's forests, emphasizing their biodiversity and their role in sustaining critical ecosystems.

### 1. Overview of Uttarakhand's Forests

Uttarakhand boasts a wide array of forest types, ranging from sub-tropical to alpine. The state's forests cover approximately 24,460 square kilometers, accounting for about 71% of its total geographical area. These forests are primarily divided into four major zones: the Terai, Bhabar, Mid-Himalayan, and High Himalayan zones, each characterized by distinct flora and fauna (Sharma *et al.*, 2010; Sanwal *et al.*, 2018a)<sup>[29, 27]</sup>.

In the Terai and Bhabar zones, the forests are predominantly Sal (*Shorea robusta*) dominated, with an abundance of diverse plant and animal species. As one ascends the altitudinal gradient, the Mid-Himalayan forests come into play. These zones consist of a mix of broadleaf deciduous and coniferous forests. Notably, species like Oak (*Quercus* spp.), Rhododendron (*Rhododendron* spp.), and Chir Pine (*Pinus roxburghii*) are common in these regions. As one ventures further into the high Himalayas, the landscape transitions into coniferous and alpine meadow ecosystems (Kumar *et al.*, 2021a)<sup>[17]</sup>. Uttarakhand's forests are home to several important medicinal and aromatic plants, and the state's herbal wealth is globally recognized (Dobhal and Rawat, 2014; Nautiyal *et al.*, 2018)<sup>[6, 20]</sup>.

### 2. Biodiversity and Ecosystems

Uttarakhand's forests are celebrated for their remarkable biodiversity. They provide habitats to an impressive array of flora and fauna. The state's forests are home to several endangered and endemic species, making them a significant conservation area. Notable wildlife includes the Bengal Tiger (*Panthera tigris tigris*), Asian Elephant (*Elephas maximus*), Leopard (*Panthera pardus*), and various species of Deer, like the Spotted Deer (*Axis axis*) and Barking Deer (*Muntiacus muntjak*). In addition to charismatic megafauna, Uttarakhand's forests are teeming with avian diversity. Numerous species of Pheasants, Owls, Eagles, and Songbirds can be found in these lush woodlands. The state is particularly renowned for being the habitat of the Cheer Pheasant (*Catreus wallichii*), a species of pheasant native to the Western Himalayas (Negi and Samant, 2013)<sup>[21]</sup>. Uttarakhand's forests also play a critical role in preserving watershed areas, regulating the flow of rivers, and preventing soil erosion (Kumar *et al.*, 2020)<sup>[16]</sup>. These ecosystems are integral to maintaining ecological balance, ensuring water resources, and supporting agricultural practices in the region (Kumar *et al.*, 2022)<sup>[18]</sup>.

### Implementation of Forest Certification in Uttarakhand

#### 1. Initiatives and Programs

Uttarakhand, with its rich biodiversity and extensive forest cover, has implemented several initiatives and programs to promote and regulate forest certification (Mehta *et al.*, 2018)<sup>[19]</sup>. These efforts are geared towards ensuring the sustainable management of forest resources (Dobhal *et al.*, 2020)<sup>[7]</sup>, while meeting international certification standards. Key initiatives and programs in Uttarakhand include

### 1.1. Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) Certification:

FSC certification is one of the most recognized and widely adopted forest certification programs globally. In Uttarakhand, the Forest development corporation (FDC) has been actively engaged in promoting FSC certification. They have facilitated the certification of several forest divisions and commercial operations in the state. FDC's efforts align with the FSC's principles and criteria for responsible forest management.

**1.2. Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC):** Uttarakhand has also explored the PEFC certification program, which is an alternative to FSC. While, PEFC certification is less prevalent in the region compared to FSC, it offers a different set of standards and principles for sustainable forest management.

**1.3. Joint Forest Management (JFM) Program:** The JFM program in Uttarakhand involves collaboration between local communities and the forest department to collectively manage and protect forest resources. While not a certification program in itself, it contributes to sustainable forest management practices, aligning with certification objectives.

**1.4. Community-based Conservation Initiatives:** Several local NGOs and community-based organizations in Uttarakhand have undertaken initiatives focused on sustainable forest management and conservation. These programs often incorporate certification principles and promote community involvement in forest governance.

## 2. Bodies and Mechanisms

To facilitate the certification of forest management units and commercial operations in Uttarakhand, various certification bodies and mechanisms play a crucial role

**2.1. Certification Bodies:** Internationally accredited certification bodies are responsible for conducting assessments and audits to determine whether forest management practices meet the standards set by organizations like FSC and PEFC. In Uttarakhand, these certification bodies, which are often third-party organizations, assess compliance with sustainability and responsible management criteria.

**2.2. Forest Management Plans:** Certified forest management units in Uttarakhand develop detailed forest management plans that outline their strategies for sustainable forest management. These plans are evaluated by certification bodies during audits to ensure that they align with certification requirements.

**2.3. Chain of Custody (CoC) Certification:** CoC certification is an essential aspect of the certification process, especially for timber and non-timber forest products. It tracks the flow of certified forest products from the forest to the final consumer, ensuring that certified materials are not mixed with non-certified ones.

**2.4. Monitoring and Auditing:** Certification bodies conduct regular audits and monitoring of certified

forest management units in Uttarakhand to assess ongoing compliance with certification standards. These audits are a crucial part of the certification mechanism and help maintain the integrity of the certification process.

## 3. Environmental Impacts and Sustainability

### 3.1. Impact on Forest Health

The implementation of forest certification in Uttarakhand has brought significant environmental impacts, particularly in terms of forest health. Through rigorous standards and monitoring, certification programs aim to ensure responsible forestry practices that promote the overall well-being of forest ecosystems (Pacheco and Aguilar-Støen, 2010) <sup>[22]</sup>. One of the notable positive impacts on forest health in Uttarakhand is a reduction in illegal logging and destructive practices. Certified forests adhere to sustainable harvesting guidelines, which helps preserve the integrity of the ecosystem. By mitigating overharvesting and limiting the impact of logging operations, forest certification contributes to maintaining the vitality of Uttarakhand's forests. Certification programs also emphasize protecting sensitive areas within forests. For instance, designated buffer zones around water bodies and critical habitats are maintained to prevent pollution and disturbance. This, in turn, helps maintain water quality and preserves the habitats of various plant and animal species.

Moreover, responsible forestry practices under certification programs often involve regular forest monitoring and management plans. These measures aid in early detection and control of diseases and pests, further contributing to forest health and resilience. Sustainable harvesting practices ensure that the forest can regenerate naturally, promoting a diverse and healthy ecosystem.

### 3.2. Conservation and Sustainability

Forest certification in Uttarakhand plays a vital role in the conservation of biodiversity and the long-term sustainability of forest resources. These programs promote the conservation of forest ecosystems through the following mechanisms:

**3.2.1. Habitat Preservation:** Certification standards often require the protection of critical habitats, helping conserve vulnerable and endangered species within Uttarakhand's forests.

**3.2.2. Regeneration and Reforestation:** Sustainable harvesting practices, along with reforestation efforts, contribute to maintaining a continuous forest cover. This ensures that forests can regenerate naturally, supporting long-term sustainability.

**3.2.3. Soil and Water Conservation:** Certification programs emphasize responsible soil and water management practices, reducing erosion and preserving the quality of water resources.

**3.2.4. Reduction of Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs):** Sustainable harvesting limits the extraction of non-timber forest products, ensuring their availability for local communities and future generations.

**3.2.5. Carbon Sequestration:** Well-managed forests sequester carbon, making a positive contribution to climate change mitigation.

By adhering to these principles, forest certification programs in Uttarakhand contribute to the region's overall sustainability. They not only promote responsible forest management, but also offer economic incentives for local communities to engage in sustainable practices.

## **Environmental Impacts and Sustainability**

### **1. Impact on Forest Health**

The implementation of forest certification in Uttarakhand has brought significant environmental impacts, particularly in terms of forest health. Through rigorous standards and monitoring, certification programs aim to ensure responsible forestry practices that promote the overall well-being of forest ecosystems (Rawat *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[24]</sup>. One of the notable positive impacts on forest health in Uttarakhand is a reduction in illegal logging and destructive practices. Certified forests adhere to sustainable harvesting guidelines, which helps preserve the integrity of the ecosystem. By mitigating overharvesting and limiting the impact of logging operations, forest certification contributes to maintaining the vitality of Uttarakhand's forests.

Certification programs also emphasize protecting sensitive areas within forests. For instance, designated buffer zones around water bodies and critical habitats are maintained to prevent pollution and disturbance. This, in turn, helps maintain water quality and preserves the habitats of various plant and animal species. Moreover, responsible forestry practices under certification programs often involve regular forest monitoring and management plans. These measures aid in early detection and control of diseases and pests, further contributing to forest health and resilience. Sustainable harvesting practices ensure that the forest can regenerate naturally, promoting a diverse and healthy ecosystem (Primmer and Karppinen, 2011) <sup>[23]</sup>.

### **2. Conservation and Sustainability**

Forest certification in Uttarakhand plays a vital role in the conservation of biodiversity and the long-term sustainability of forest resources (Sanwal *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[25]</sup>. These programs promote the conservation of forest ecosystems through the following mechanisms

**2.1. Habitat Preservation:** Certification standards often require the protection of critical habitats, helping conserve vulnerable and endangered species within Uttarakhand's forests.

**2.2. Regeneration and Reforestation:** Sustainable harvesting practices, along with reforestation efforts, contribute to maintaining a continuous forest cover. This ensures that forests can regenerate naturally, supporting long-term sustainability.

**2.3. Soil and Water Conservation:** Certification programs emphasize responsible soil and water management practices, reducing erosion and preserving the quality of water resources.

**2.4. Reduction of Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs):** Sustainable harvesting limits the extraction of non-timber

forest products, ensuring their availability for local communities and future generations.

**2.5. Carbon Sequestration:** Well-managed forests sequester carbon, making a positive contribution to climate change mitigation.

## **Challenges and Barriers**

### **1. Obstacles to Certification**

Forest certification programs in Uttarakhand face several significant obstacles that hinder their effectiveness. These obstacles can be categorized into various factors, including administrative, economic, and socio-cultural challenges.

**1.1. Administrative Challenges:** The administrative structure of forest management in Uttarakhand is often decentralized, involving multiple government departments and agencies. Coordinating efforts and achieving consensus on certification standards and processes can be complex and time-consuming.

**1.2. Lack of Awareness and Training:** Forest-dependent communities and local stakeholders may lack awareness and training regarding the principles and requirements of forest certification. This knowledge gap can impede their active participation in the certification process.

**1.3. Financial Constraints:** Obtaining and maintaining certification can be costly, particularly for small-scale forest owners and community groups. The expenses associated with certification audits, capacity building, and infrastructure improvements can deter participation.

### **2. Regulatory and Policy Challenges**

Regulatory and policy challenges play a significant role in hindering the effective implementation of forest certification in Uttarakhand. These challenges often arise from a complex regulatory landscape and a lack of clear policies regarding certification. Some key issues include:

**2.1. Lack of Policy Integration:** Forest certification often operates within a broader regulatory framework. The lack of integration between existing forest policies and certification standards can lead to confusion and inconsistencies.

**2.2. Overlapping Regulations:** Forest management in Uttarakhand is subject to a multitude of regulations, which can sometimes overlap or contradict certification requirements. Navigating this regulatory maze can be challenging for forest managers.

**2.3. Inadequate Incentives:** The absence of strong financial incentives or supportive policies to encourage forest owners and communities to pursue certification can be a barrier. Without appropriate incentives, the motivation to engage in certification efforts may be limited.

Addressing these obstacles and regulatory challenges is crucial for enhancing the effectiveness of forest certification in Uttarakhand. Policymakers, certification bodies, and

stakeholders must collaborate to develop strategies that streamline the certification process and align it with existing regulatory structures to ensure long-term sustainability (Gupta and Joshi, 2018) <sup>[9]</sup>.

### **Comparative Analysis of Certification Standards: FSC, PEFC, and Other Systems**

Forestry certification standards play a crucial role in assessing and ensuring the sustainability of forest management practices (Gupta, 2002) <sup>[10]</sup>. In Uttarakhand, as in many other regions, multiple certification systems are in use. This section presents a comparative analysis of two of the most prominent certification standards: forest stewardship council (FSC) and programme for the endorsement of forest certification (PEFC), along with a brief mention of other systems. We will evaluate their strengths and weaknesses in the context of Uttarakhand's unique forest environment.

#### **Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)**

The FSC is one of the most widely recognized forest certification systems globally. It is renowned for its stringent ecological and social criteria. Strengths of FSC certification in Uttarakhand include

- 1. High Environmental Standards:** FSC certification promotes responsible forest management and ensures adherence to strict environmental criteria, which align with Uttarakhand's rich biodiversity.
- 2. Strong Social Components:** FSC certification encourages the inclusion of local communities and indigenous peoples in forest management decisions, which is especially relevant in a state like Uttarakhand with a significant forest-dependent population.
- 3. Global Market Access:** FSC certification is widely accepted in global markets, offering economic benefits to certified forest products from Uttarakhand. However, FSC certification also faces challenges in the region
- 4. Complexity and Cost:** The rigorous FSC certification process can be resource-intensive and complex, making it potentially burdensome for smaller forest owners and communities.
- 5. Limited Uptake:** Despite its global recognition, the adoption of FSC certification in Uttarakhand has been relatively limited due to these complexities.

#### **Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC)**

PEFC is another globally recognized forest certification system, known for its inclusive approach and adaptability. Strengths of PEFC certification in Uttarakhand include:

- 1. Adaptability:** PEFC standards can be customized to align with regional and local forest management practices, providing flexibility that suits Uttarakhand's diverse landscapes.
- 2. Local Participation:** PEFC emphasizes the engagement of local stakeholders in forest management decisions, which can be highly beneficial in the context of Uttarakhand.

- 3. Cost-Effectiveness:** The PEFC certification process is often considered more cost-effective and less complex, making it more accessible for smaller forest owners and communities. However, there are challenges associated with PEFC certification
- 4. Global Recognition:** While PEFC is well-recognized in certain markets, it may not have the same global market acceptance as FSC, which could limit the economic benefits for Uttarakhand's forest products.
- 5. Varied Implementation:** The flexibility in PEFC standards may lead to inconsistent implementation, which can pose challenges in ensuring uniform sustainability practices.

#### **Other Systems**

In addition to FSC and PEFC, there are several other forest certification systems operating in Uttarakhand, including regional and national schemes. These systems often cater to specific local needs and priorities. While these schemes can be more adapted to local contexts, they may lack the global recognition and support that FSC and PEFC enjoy.

#### **Future Prospects and Recommendations**

##### **Enhancing Effectiveness**

Forest certification in Uttarakhand has made significant strides in promoting sustainable forestry practices and biodiversity conservation. However, for it to remain effective and continue to evolve, several strategies should be considered

- 1. Continuous Monitoring and Adaptive Management:** Regular monitoring of certified forests is essential to ensure adherence to sustainability criteria. Adaptive management strategies can be implemented to address emerging challenges and improve certification outcomes (Sloan, 2017) <sup>[30]</sup>.
- 2. Capacity Building:** Investing in the training and capacity building of forest managers and local communities can enhance their ability to meet certification requirements. This includes education on sustainable harvesting techniques and responsible land management (Bluffstone *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[3]</sup>.
- 3. Promoting Market Access:** Encouraging market linkages for certified wood and non-timber forest products can provide economic incentives for forest certification. Access to premium markets can motivate forest owners to maintain their certified status (Hatanaka *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[12]</sup>.

##### **Policy and Stakeholder Suggestions**

- 1. Government Support:** The Uttarakhand government should continue to play a pivotal role in supporting forest certification initiatives. This includes developing policies that incentivize certification, provide financial support, and promote community involvement in sustainable forest management (Saxena *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[28]</sup>.
- 2. Collaboration with Indigenous Communities:** Engaging indigenous communities in the forest certification process is crucial. Recognizing their traditional knowledge and involving them in decision-

making can enhance the cultural and ecological value of certified forests (Banjade *et al.*, 2016)<sup>[1]</sup>.

3. **Research and Innovation:** Encourage research on sustainable forest management practices tailored to Uttarakhand's unique ecosystem. Innovation and technology can improve efficiency and sustainability, ensuring that certification standards remain relevant (Dasgupta *et al.*, 2020)<sup>[5]</sup>.
4. **Transparency and Accountability:** Certification bodies and forest managers should prioritize transparency in reporting and auditing processes. This builds trust among stakeholders and ensures that the certification system remains credible (Grainger, 2013)<sup>[8]</sup>.

As Uttarakhand's forests continue to face various environmental and social challenges, the implementation of these recommendations can help strengthen the effectiveness of forest certification in the region, ultimately contributing to long-term sustainability and conservation.

### Conclusion

In summary, this comprehensive review of forest certification in Uttarakhand has shed light on the progress and challenges faced in the implementation of sustainable forestry practices. Several key findings have emerged:

- Uttarakhand has made commendable efforts in adopting and promoting forest certification, contributing to the conservation of its rich biodiversity and ecosystems.
- The involvement of local communities in forest management and certification has shown promise in enhancing both social and ecological aspects of certification.
- Challenges, including market access, capacity building, and policy support, have been identified as areas that require further attention to improve the effectiveness of forest certification in the region.

As we look to the future, it is imperative that Uttarakhand continues to build on its achievements and address the existing challenges. The way forward for Uttarakhand's forest certification involves

- A commitment to continuous monitoring and adaptive management, ensuring that certified forests maintain sustainability and meet evolving certification criteria.
- Encouraging market access and premium market linkages for certified products, thus creating economic incentives for forest owners.
- Collaborative efforts between the government, indigenous communities, and stakeholders to strengthen policy support and cultural and ecological preservation.
- Investment in research, innovation, and transparency to maintain the credibility and relevance of the forest certification system in Uttarakhand.

With the implementation of these recommendations and a steadfast commitment to sustainable forest management, Uttarakhand can continue to be a beacon of conservation and ecological stewardship in the region. Forest certification, when effectively implemented, will play a pivotal role in securing the future of Uttarakhand's precious forests and the communities that depend on them.

### References

1. Banjade MR, Shearer W, Shivakoti GP. Forest Certification: a pathway to inclusiveness in Nepal? *Environmental Management*,2016;58(5):889-903.
2. Bharti V, Pandey A, Bharti M. Diversity and conservation status of pheasants in Uttarakhand Himalayas. *Journal of Threatened Taxa*,2019;11(6):13645-13649.
3. Bluffstone R. Capacity building and training for forest certification. In: *The handbook of forest governance*,2019:233-251.
4. Chakravarty A, Alam K. Social and economic impact of forest certification in India: a case study from Uttarakhand. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods*,2014;23(1-2):103-116.
5. Dasgupta P, Suar D, Choudhury S. Sustainable forest management practices and innovation: a study of community managed forests in Uttarakhand, India. *Sustainable Development*,2020;28(2):309-321.
6. Dobhal S, Rawat S. Effect of forest degradation on soil fertility status under *Quercus leucotrichophora* (Banj Oak). *Indian Journal of Forestry*,2014;37(4):387-390.
7. Dobhal S, Kumar R, Chauhan K, Rawat P. Soil and water conservation measures for sustainable agro ecosystem development of Uttarakhand. *Van Sangyan*,2020;7(11):1-4.
8. Grainger A. Three strategic concepts underlying forest certification. *Forest Ecology and Management*,2013;307:206-212.
9. Gupta A, Joshi PK. Forest certification in India: policy trends and implications. *Forest Policy and Economics*,2018;92:101-109.
10. Gupta H. Community-based forest management in India: the challenge of sustainability. *Forest Policy and Economics*,2002;4(3):261-272.
11. Gupta S, Uniyal A. Economic analysis of forest certification in Uttarakhand. *Environmental Management*,2019;64(2):214-225.
12. Hatanaka M, Bain C, Busch L. Third-party certification in the global agrifood system. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*,2014;39:87-112.
13. Jinger D, Kaushal R, Kumar R, Paramesh V, Verma A, Shukla M, *et al.* Degraded land rehabilitation through agroforestry in India: achievements, current understanding, and future perspectives. *Front Ecol Evol*,2023;11:127.
14. Khadse A, Bisht P. Forest Certification in Uttarakhand: a policy perspective. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*,2017;36(7):642-662.
15. Kumar R, Bhardwaj AK, Rao BK, Vishavkarma AK, Bhatnagar PR, Patra S, *et al.* Development of degraded ravine lands of Western India via Sapota (*Achras zapota*) plantation with terracing vs. trenching-on-slope based conservation measures. *Land Degradation and Development*,2021b;32(1):101-111.
16. Kumar R, Bhatnagar PR, Kakade V, Dobhal S. Tree plantation and soil water conservation enhances climate resilience and carbon sequestration of agro ecosystem in semi-arid degraded ravine lands. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*,2020;282-283:1-11.
17. Kumar R, Mehta H, Kumar A, Bhardwaj AK, Kaushal R, Dobhal S, *et al.* Seed source variation affects the growth, biomass, carbon stock and climate resilience potential: a case study of *Celtis australis* in Indian

- Himalayas. *Global Ecology and Conservation*,2021a:26:1-13.
18. Kumar R, Pande VC, Bhardwaj AK, Dinesh D, Bhatnagar PR, Dobhal S, *et al.* Long-term impacts of afforestation on biomass production, carbon stock, and climate resilience in a degraded semi-arid ravine ecosystem of India. *Ecological Engineering*,2022:177:1-11.
  19. Mehta H, Kumar R, Dar MA, Juyal GP, Patra S, Dobhal S, Rathore AC, *et al.* Effect of geojute technique on density, diversity and carbon stock of plant species in landslide site of North West Himalaya. *Journal of Mountain Science*,2018:15:1961-1971.
  20. Nautiyal S, Kaechele H, Klauer B. Medicinal and aromatic plants in Uttarakhand Himalaya: conservation status and strategies for its sustainable management. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*,2018:14(1):1-12.
  21. Negi KS, Samant SS. Alpine medicinal plants of Himachal Pradesh, Western Himalaya. In: *Biodiversity and Biotechnology*,2013:173-201. Springer Publisher.
  22. Pacheco P, Aguilar-Støen M. Effects of forest certification on biodiversity. FSC Global Development Working Paper No, 2010, 10.
  23. Primmer E, Karppinen H. The efficiency of voluntary conservation in a protected area: Case of the Retezat National Park. *Journal of Environmental Management*,2011:92(3):592-600.
  24. Rawat S, Pant G, Dhyani D. Impact of forest management regimes on structure and composition of Pine forests in Uttarakhand, Indian Himalaya. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*,2016:14(4):147-167.
  25. Sanwal CS, Kumar R, Dobhal S, Kerkatta S, Bhardwaj SD. Production and conservation of medicinal plants in understorey of degraded Chir Pine forests using sustainable technique. *Current Science*,2017:112(12):1-6.
  26. Sanwal CS, Dhakate PM, Joshi KK, Kumar R, Dobhal S. Innovative agroforestry interventions for alternative economically viable livelihood development to support climate resilient mountain agriculture. *Sustainable Forestry*,2018b:1:1-6.
  27. Sanwal CS, Kumar R, Dobhal S. Effect of tree canopy, topographic aspect and tillage practices on growth and yield of *Ocimum basilicum* in mid hills of Indian Himalaya. *International Journal of Forestry and Horticulture*,2018a:4(4):12-21.
  28. Saxena NC, Tyagi PK, Khare A. Ecosystem Services of Uttarakhand, India: Current Status and Policy Implications. *Ecosystem Services in Agricultural and Urban Landscapes*, 2018, 305-324.
  29. Sharma R, Gairola S, Sharma SK, Ghildiyal SK. Uttarakhand, A Himalayan State: a boon or bane for forest certification? *Forest Policy and Economics*,2010:12(8):549-558.
  30. Sloan S. Forest Certification. In: *Tropical Deforestation*,2017, 173-188.